MYSORE

Govt. Pr.-37—65,000 31 10 60.

Rev (1952) Department.

RA SERIES.

G.O. NO. 503 Confidential

Passed in Assembly

Dated 5 8.52

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public Servants (Bellary) - Srinivasarao Sri. H and Ekambaram Sri C. included in the list of Tahsildars and Taluk Retrenched - Rejected.

Circulated by

Confidential:

Revenue Department.
Subject:
TAHSILDARS and Deputy Tahsildars (Bellary) Inclusion of names in the lists for 1951 - proposal - Orders passed.

Mis.735(C) Station: M a d r a s. Dated 1-7-52.

From
Sri A.F. Mascarenhas, I.A.S., Secretary, Board of Revenue.
Sri V.N. Kudva, I.C.S., Commissioner of Commercial Taxes.
Sri W.R.S. Sattianathan, ICS., Commissioner of Land Revenue.

...

Read: Memorial to H.E. the Governor from Sri K.DiwakaraRao dated 3-5-52.
From Sri H.Srinivasa Rao petition dated 23-5-52.
From the Collector of Bellary 8060/52 dated 12-6-52.
From Sri C. Ekambaram petition dated 5-6-52

...

RESOLUTION:

*** ** **

5. The petitions from Sri K. Diwakara Rao, Sri C. Ekambaram and Sri H. Srinivasa Rao, requesting the inclusion of their names in the approved lists of Tahsildars or Deputy Tahsildars as the case may be, are submitted to the Government with the following remarks:

Sri K.DiwakaraRao:

He is well past the conventional age limit as he is 48 now. His previous petition to the Government requesting the inclusion of his name in the Tahsildar's list was rejected in G.O.Rt. No.401/50 Revenue dated 3-6-50. The relevant extracts of the Collector's remarks from the annual proposals for 1950-51 are enclosed. No name has been included in the list for 1951 the existing list being overfull.

Sri C.Ekambaram:

He is aged 46 and thus past the conventional age limit for inclusion in the Tahsildar's list. He addressed previously a similar petition to the Hon'ble Minister(Land Revenue) instead of the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department and thus contravened the rules regarding the submission of petitions to Government. In G.O.Ms.No.840 Revenue dated 21-3-52 the Government have directed the Collector to deal with the petitioner suitably for contravening the Government Servants' Conduct rules and to report to them in due course, the result of the action taken against him. The present petitione also is addressed to the Hon'ble Minister (Land Revenue). The Collector is being asked to take suitable action against the petitioner for the persistent contravention of rules. His similar previous petition to the Government requesting the inclusion of his name in the Tahsildar's list was rejected in G.O.Rt.No.660 Revenue dated 25-9-50. An extract of the Collector's remarks in the annual proposals for 1950-1951 is enclosed. No new name has been included in the 1951 list as the existing list is already overfull.

Sri H.Srinivasa Rao:

The petitioner was not technically qualified for inclusion in the Deputy Tahsildar's list for 1950, as he had passed the statutory age limit of 40 years by then, his date of birth being 15-1-1910. The Collector sees no special reason for recommending the case for exemption from age limit. The Board has no remarks in favour of the petitioner as no name has been included in the list for 1951.

6. Sri C.Ekambaram has again submitted a petition

addressed to the Hon'ble Minister (Land Revenue) in spite of the orders of the Government in G.O.Ms.No.840 Revenue dated 21-3-52 to take action against him for the submission of a previous petition to the Hon'ble Minister (Land Revenue). The Collector is requested to take suitable action against the petitioner for the persistent contravention of the Government Servants' Conduct Rules and report the result of action taken in due course.

/True extract/

for Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department(paras 5 & 6 only) with 3 petitions and 2 Personal files with enclsoures.

PLG/2/7.

...

Copy of extract from B.P.Mis.7(C) dated 4-1-52.

...

List of persons eligible for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars including those who have passed the conventional age limit.

...

2. Sri K.Divakara Rao	47	Brahmin	B.A. The Special Officer Tungabhadra Project has recommended his inclusion but he has been working under the Special Officer only since 27-5-50. Before that he was working as ~~Firka~~ Taluk Supply Officer. The District Supply Officer then found his supervision work connected with rural rationing slack, and that he had no proper control over firka supply officers.I was not satisfied either with his procurement work or inspection of ration shops. His appeal to Government for inclusion was rejected in G.O.Rt.401/50 Revenue dated 3-6-50. Not recommended.

(sd) G.RamiReddi,
Collector.

...

2. Sri K.Diwakara Rao	48	Brahmin	B.A. Overaged. Not recommended by the Special Officer, Tungabhadra Project.

(sd) G.Ramireddi
12/3/52.
Collector.

.....

3. Sri C.Ekambaram	45	Brahmin	S.S.L.C. Recommended as his work as Asst.Civil Administrator was good (Reverted to Madras State on August 50 and since then has been on leave). In their order Rt.No.660 Rev.d/25-9-50 the Government have rejected his appeal petition to include his name in the approved list of Tahsildar.

(sd) G. Ramireddi,
Collector.

3. Sri C.Akambaram 46 Brahmin. S.S.L.C. Overaged. His appeal ~~xx~~ also was rejected by Govt. in 1951.

(sd) G.Ramireddi,
12/3/52.
Collector.

/True extract/

~~for~~ ~~Secretary~~.

~~RB/2/7~~.

Copy of L.Dis.8060/52.A.4. dated 12-6-52. from the Collector of Bellary.

...

Public Servants - Srinivasa Rao(Sri H) Permanent typist - Collector's Office, Bellary andEx.Ag.Loans Head Accountant Treasury Deputy Collector's Office, Bellary - petition to Government to include his name in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars - sent.

...

I send herewith the petition of Sri H. Sreenivasa Rao

p.t.o

permanent typist, Collector's Office, Bellary and Ex.Ag.Loans Head Accountant, Treasury Deputy Collector's Office, Bellary requestin the Government to include his name in the approved list of DeputyTahsildars for Bellary district after relaxing the age qualification.

2. The petitioner was not technically qualified for inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars for the year 1950, as he passed thestatutory age limit of 40 years by then, his date of birth being 15th January 1910.

3. I do not find any special reasons for recommending his case for exemption fromthe age limit.

/true copy/

for Secretary.

PLG/2/7.

1 159

5

9 N.Dis. 2186/52 c 10.5.52

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
14 MAY 1952
MADRAS

Forwarded and recommended.

Special officer, 15

D 4610/52

MM 7552

Mr. Bellary 7/5/52

To

The Secretary to the Bd of Revenue,
Chepauk, Madras.
— @ one memorial/petition.

Enof. No. D.4610/52(e) d. 552

Forwarded to the Collector of Bellary for resubmission, with his remarks on the points raised in the memorial.

By Order,
Secretary to Bd of Rev.
23/5

To

The Collector of Bellary

#485

Bellary, 28/5/52.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Fort St. George,
M A D R A S.

THROUGH: The proper channel,

Sub: Humble petition from H.Sreenivasa Rao- Loans Head Accountant- Collector's office,Bellary- praying for relaxation of age rule and inclusion of his name in the list of Deputy Tahsildars for Bellary District.

-.-

The petitioner belongs to the permanent Revenue Establishment of the Bellary District. He is permanent Lower Division Clerk with an aggregate service of 19 years to his credit. He was first promoted to the Upper Division in May 1945. He has been working in the selection post carrying a fixed pay of Rs.110/- since April 1948 when he was first promoted to that cadre. He is at present working as Loans Head Accountant Collector's Office,Bellary since 18-4-1952. He became fully qualified to hold the post of a Deputy Tahsildar only in June 1950. The petitioner has worked in the following posts in the selection grade of Rs.110/- and Rs. 115/-.

Additional 2nd. Accountant, Collector's office,Bellary.	June 1948 to September 1948.
Huzur 2nd. Clerk, Collector's office,Bellary.	October 1948 to March 1950.
Leave and Taluk Head Accountant's Training ~~from~~	from April 1950 to August 1950.
Taluk Head Accountant, Harapanahalli.	September 1950.
Asst. Taluk Supply officer, Rayadurg and Alur.	November 1950 to April 1951.
Taluk Head Accountant, Harapanahalli and Rayadrug.	May 1950 to 10-1-1952(This includes service of 15 days as Asst. Taluk Supply officer, Hadagalli also).
Leave ~~From 1958~~ from 1.1.52 to 18th April 52.	
Loans Head Accountant, Collector's office,Bellary, from	18-4-52 to date.

P.T.O.

10/ 189

2. The petitioner is now aged 42 his date of birth being ~~15-10-1911~~ 15-1-1910. He could not fully qualify himself to hold the post of Deputy Tahsildar before he became age-barred due to circumstances beyond his control. He should have been a regular Deputy Tahsildar by now had he only acquired the technical qualifications before he passed 40 years of age. It is only his misfortune that he qualified himself late for the post of a Deputy Tahsildar by a few months though he was otherwise considered fit to hold that job. He however submits the following facts to be judged in favour of his request for inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars.

1) ~~He~~ that he has discharged the duties of the jobs assigned to him dutifully and honestly.

2) that his acquiring the necessary technical qualification late may not by itself justify any tax to his inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars.

3) that he was awarded a good service entry while working as Varnacular Head Clerk, Collector's office, Bellary by the then Collector E.C.Allardice Esq. I.C.S. and by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Bellary while he was working as Asst. Taluk Supply officer, Kanekal. Copies of the relevant proceedings are enclosed.)

4) that he is otherwise qualified and fit to hold the post of Deputy Tahsildar.

5) that he learns that in similar deserving cases the Government were pleased to relax the age-rules and gave inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars in this and other Districts.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE 31 MAY 1952 BELL

The petitioner thus submits that he is entitled to mercy at the hands of the Government and prays that as a special case the Government will be graciously pleased to relax the age-restrictions and order the inclusion of his name in the list of Deputy Tahsildars for Bellary District.

For which act of kindness and justice, the petitioner will be ever grateful to Government.

N. Dis 3269/52 dated 29-5-52.
Submitted to the Collector Bellary

The petitioner begs to remain,
Sir,
Yours most obedient Servant,

Tmy By Collector 28/5
Bellary

V. Srinivasa Rao

28.5.52 C16

Copy submitted to the Secretary to Government Revenue Department, Ft: St: George, Madras, dated

181

4

Proceedings of the Collector, Bellary
E.C. Allardice Esq., I.C.S.,

L.Dis.7445/49 A.4 Dated 23-6-49.

Sub:- Sreenivasa Rao (Sri H) - V.H.C. - Collector's Office awarded red entry for prompt and systematic work.

Ref:- Collector's remarks on the running note file of A.1

***

The Collector is pleased to award a red entry to Sri H. Sreenivasa Rao acting Huzur Second Clerk for his prompt and systematic work in the office.

Sd. E.C. Allardice,
Collector.

To

Sri H. Sreenivasa Rao A.1 Collector's Office, Bellary
Copy to A.4 for further action to Collector's table.

/true copy/-

H. Srinivasa Rao
28/5/52

Proceedings of the Rev.Divisional Officer, Bellary,
Sri N. Venkatanarasa Reddy M.A.

L.Dis.C.No.665/51 dated 30-1-51.

Sub:- Public Servants - Madras Ministerial Service - Rayadrug Taluk - Award of red entries to T.H.A. add A.T.S.O. Kanekal - for Good work done - Orders passed.

O R D E R

Sri H. Raghavendrachar, Taluk Head Accountant and Sri H. Sreenivasa Rao Asst.Taluk Supply Officer, Kanekal are each awarded a red entry for good work done in connection with the preparation of remission accounts of the effected villages of Rayadrug Taluk for F.1360.

Sd. N. Venkatanarasa Reddy,
Rev.Divil.Officer, Bellary

To

The Parties.
Copy to the Tahsildar, Rayadrug for making necessary entries in the personal file of the individuals.
Copy submitted to the Collectoro Bellary.

/true copy/-

H. Srinivasa Rao
28/5/52

To

The Hon'ble the Minister for Revenue,
Fort St. George, M a d r a s.

Through

The Collector of
Bellary.

Through the Rev. Dr. Otten, Bellary

Respected sir,

The humble representation of C. Ekambaram, Deputy Tahsildar, Bellary District, for your sympathetic consideration and favourable orders.

2. I was included in the list of Deputy Tahsildars' in Bellary district in the year 1942. I was first appointed as Deputy Tahsildar in July 1943 and ever-since that date I am continuing to act as Deputy Tahsildar without a break. My probation was declared to have been completed satisfactorily in the year 1945.

3. From 1946 onwards several persons, juniors to me, have been included in the list of Tahsildars' overlooking my seniority and I give below the names of my juniors and the year in which they were included. These are juniors to me in service and in the cadre of Deputy Tahsildars.

1. Sri. A. Adiraj. 2. Sri. D.B. Ramakrishnachar. 3. Sri. S. Ramachandran.	1946
4. Sri. M. Rama Rao. 5. Sri. K.Krishnamurti Mudaliar	1947
6. Sri. Y.A. Sriram. 7. Sri. K. Narayanappa. 8. Sri. Dhananjaya Rao.	1948
9. Sri. T.K. Jayarama Ayyar. 10. Sri. P. Samuel. 11. Mr. Rahmatullah Khan. 12. Sri. Hosakerappa. 13. Sri. A. Ramalinga Reddy.	1949

14. Sri. I.M. Kotrayya - included supplementally in 1949.

15. Sri. B.Bodaroyachar
16. Sri. A.M. Kotrayya

included in December 1951 on a representation to Govt.

Sri. M.A. Castelino - my senior
Sri. Dhananjaya Rao - my junior

were included in the list of 1948 on compassionate grounds

P.T.O.

4. It may thus be seen that 16 persons, juniors to me in service and in the cadre of Dy. Tahsildars, have been included in the list of Tahsildars' from 1946 onwards. I may mention here that in 1946 when Nos. 1 to 3 were proposed for inclusion, my name was also considered and it was decided that my name should be included in 1947 list. The papers in the Board's office will throw light on this.

I beg to submit for your sympathetic consideration that in September 1948 I was one of those who was deputed to work in the grade of Tahsildars in Hyderabad State and continued to act in that cadre from 23.9.1948 till 15.8.1950 when I was reverted to my parent Province along with a batch of others as our services were no longer required there. During my period of stay in Hyderabad State I acquitted myself very well and for the good work done by me I was awarded three red entries. My conduct sheet will bear testimony to this fact. This good work has not merited any consideration. During my absence, I humbly beg to submit that Nos. 6 to 14 who are juniors to me were included in the list of Tahsildars in preference to my better claims and Nos. 15 and 16 after my return from Hyderabad State. No. 15 who was appointed to work as Tahsildar along with me in Hyderabad State has also been included in the list, presumably on the ground that he worked as Tahsildar in the Hyderabad State (foreign service). I have been denied this concession.

5. I beg to invite your kind attention to rule 113 Chapter XII - Foreign service - of the Fundamental Rules, an extract of which is reproduced below for your kind perusal.

"Rule 113. A government servant transferred to foreign service shall remain in the cadre or cadres in which he was included in a substantive or officiating capacity immediately before his transfer and may be given such substantive or officiating promotion in those cadres as the authority competent to order promotion may decide. In giving promotions such authority shall take into account

(a) the nature of work performed in foreign service and
(b) the promotion given to juniors in the cadre in which the question of promotion arises".

Assuming that my appointment in the cadre of Tahsildars in the foreign service is considered temporary, I have a claim for being appointed in the same cadre in my parent province in as much as my juniors have been made to act in the Tahsildars' cadre and have been included in the list of Tahsildars' during my absence in foreign service. Being a senior and acting in the cadre of Tahsildars in foreign service, I submit, my name should have been included in the list of Tahsildars in 1948 atleast. It may not be out of place if I submit for your kind consideration that persons of the cadre of Dy. Tahsildars who were deputed to work as Tahsildars in the Hyderabad State from Kurnool, Nellore, Anantapur etc., districts have all been included in the list of Tahsildars and posted to act as Tahsildars in their respective districts, commencing their probation from the date of their joining the foreign service. This was done on the ground of equity and applying Rule 113 of the Fundamental Rules. Such a consideration was not shown to me in this district. The Government are aware that we were deputed to work in Hyderabad State at a time when the conditions were grave and critical and the deputees had to work at the risk of their lives and face the terroristic activities of the Razackers and communists. At a time when the Communists raided a portion of the Taluk (Kalavakurti Mahabubnagar Dt.) where I was working as Asst. Civil Administrator, I overcame the struggle and put down their activities. I am sure that this good work would have been mentioned in my confidential sheet. For the good work I turned out there, the Civil Administrator, Mahabubnagar, recommended my name for inclusion in the Tahsildars' list in the two successive years of 1948 and 1949 having learnt that I was not in the list of Tahsildars. To my utter disappointment my juniors were given promotions.

It was also expressed in one of the proceedings of

of the Legislative Assembly of Madras by the then Hon'ble Chief Minister (Hon'ble Mr. O.P. Ramaswami Reddiar) that the arduous nature of work turned out by the officers in the foreign service in Hyderabad State will be duly recognised and such persons shall be given preference provided their claim is reasonable and would not act adversely to the claims of their seniors in the parent province. According to this statement you will be pleased to observe that I have a better claim and preference should have been given to me over my juniors. I am made to suffer for no fault of mine. I also beg to submit that as far as I know my confidential sheet is good and I bear a better record than that of my juniors mentioned above. As a matter of fact the several Collectors whom I approached have expressed so. If found necessary my confidential sheet and that of my juniors noted above may kindly be called for and perused.

6. I have submitted these facts to you, sir, for your kind consideration and see how unfortunate I was in not having been included in the list of Tahsildars' inspite of

(i) my good record and my name being considered for inclusion so far back as 1946;
(II) being senior to all the 16 persons mentioned above;
(iii) the recommendation of the Civil Administrator, Mahabubnagar, to include my name in the list of Tahsildars' in the years 1948, 1949 & 1950;
(iv) Rule 113 of the Fundamental Rules being in my favour;
(v) the red entries I have received for good work turned out in the foreign service and
(vi) the period of service I have put in as Tahsildar in the foreign service (from 23.9.48 to 15.8.1950).

I press these points for your sympathetic consideration and request you to order my inclusion in the list of Tahsildars' from the date of my joining the foreign service (i.e., 23.9.1948) and order commencement of my probation from 23.9.1948. I request early orders may kindly be passed.

I beg to remain,
Respected sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C. Ekambaram
5-6-52.
Taluk Supply Officer, Adoni. (Bellary Dt.)

Advance copy submitted to the Hon'ble Minister for Revenue, to save delay.

NDD 4223/52

Submitted to the Meeting Bellary
NDN Secy

C. No. 45496 R/52

Revenue Department

Read: Petition from Sri C. Ekambaram, petitioner, 5.6.52
From Sri A. Srinivasarao, petitioner, 28.5.52
petition, dated
From the Board of Revenue, Reference No. B.P. Mis 735 (C)
dated 1.7.52.

G.O.Rt.No. 503 dated 5/8/52.

The Government are not prepared to interfere on behalf of the petitioners. The petitions are rejected.

As Sri C. Ekambaram has shown stubborn indifference to discipline by addressing his petition dated 5.6.52 & misleading the Government, the quoted above to the Board of Revenue, the Board of Revenue is requested to pursue disciplinary action against him and report the result to Govt in due course.

To

The Petitioners through the Board of Revenue. (Parawise)

Copy to the Board of Revenue, with the P.F. returned.

G.No 45 4'96 Rev.

Revenue Department.

Public Servants (Bellary) - ~~Diwakara Rao Sri K.~~ Srinivasarao Sri H., and Ekambaram Sri C. - Inclusion in the list of Tahrs. and ~~Dy.~~ Tahrs. - Petitions.

(Remarks — 1 to 6-8 cf
1P 9-10 cf
1P 12-15 cf
1P 1-4 cf

From Sri K. Diwakararao petition dated 3-5-52.
From Sri H. Srinivasarao petition dated 28-5-52.
From Sri C. Ekambaram petition dated 5-6-52.
From the Bd. of Rev. B.P.Mis.735 (c) dated 1-7-52.

To A.S.

pp 12-15 cf

The file relates to the petitions from Sri C. Ekambaram for inclusion in the approved list of Tahrs. for Bellary Dt. and from Sri H. Srinivasarao for inclusion in the list of Dy.Tahrs. Their claims are examined below. (The memorial from Sri K.Diwakararao for inclusion in the Tahrs. list is being dealt with seperately as it is a memorial addressed to the Governor)

pp 9-10 cf

pp 6-8 cf
(Removed from file)

Sri C.Ekambaram.

Please see the petition on pp.12-15 c.f., extracts of the Collrs. remarks at P on pp.2-3 c.f. and the Bd's remarks at K. on p.1 c.f. His petition ~~is~~ for inclusion in the approved list of Tahrs. for 1949 was rejected in G.O.Rt.No.660 Rev. dated 25-9-50. Attention is invited to the notes and orders ~~in~~ in circulation on pp.2-3 n.f. G.O, ibid. At the instance of the previous M. (L.R.), the relative claims of the petitioner and three of his juniors who were included in the '49 list were again examined and it was held that his juniors were rightly preferred. - Please see the notes and orders in ~~K~~ circulation at pp.4-6 n.f. of G.O.No. 560 Rev. dated 25-9-50. He cannot have any grievance against his non-inclusion in the 1951 list as the Bd. has not included anybody in the list as the list is already overful. Further he is aged 46 and is past the conventional age limit for inclusion in the Tahrs. list. The petition may be rejected. The petitioners P.F is at (B)

1F 3-6 cf & (K)

P 7 cf & (K)

(K)

P 4 NF & (K)

(K)

In G.O.No.840 Rev. dated 21-3-52 the Collr. was asked to obtain the explanation of the petitioner and deal with him suitably for contravening supplementary Rule 4 of the Govt. servants conduct Rules, by submitting

K'ka P 1 cf

A 44 & Govt 14-5-1905 → P 1 cf & (K)

I

May ~~be~~ rejects as his claim was considered & Rejected on last year 25/7/52

27/7

p.t.o

No. 45496 R/52

a petition direct to M.(L.R.) and to report to Govt. the result of the action taken. The Collr's report is awaited. The petitioner has again addressed his petition to M.(L.R.) and the Bd. has asked the Collr. to take action against him for persistent contravention of rules.

P 12 c.f.
We may ask for a report on result of this also.
25/7/52
27/7/52

This individual shows a stubborn indifference to discipline & deserves not deserve to be promoted as Tahsildar. The action of Collr. in this should be pursued

Sri H. Srinivasarao.

Please see the petition on pp.9-10 c.f., Copy of the Collr's letter on pp.3-4 c.f. and the Bd's remarks at B. on p.1 c.f. His claim is for inclusion in the Dy.Tahrs. list. He is aged 42 years, date of birth being 15-1-1910. He qualified himself for inclusion by passing the tests only after he became overaged and his case does not therefore merit relaxation of age rule. / No interference appears necessary. For orders whether the petition may be rejected. The P.F. of the petitioner has not been ~~need~~ received and it does not appear necessary to call for it in view of A above.

'B' on p 1 c.f. - ibid -

'A'

'A' on p 9 c.f

The Bd has not included any body in the list this year (1951) as the list is overdue

Petn. may be rejected in view of 'A' above.

25/7/52
27/7

S
M.(L.R.)

For orders on I on pre-page & A above
Rajagopalan
25/7/52

14/7/52

circulate. Rotn No 2 Dt. 7

27/7/52

M. (L.R.) M.A.M.
30/7

To A.S.

With reference to the orders in the circulation Cabinet, a draft order is submitted for approval.

1 AUG 1952
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

1/8/52